

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,051		03/19/2004	Yong-hyun Kwon	1572.1221	6939
21171	7590	09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & SUITE 700	z HALSEY	LLP	ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
1201 NEW	YORK AV	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	GTON, DC	20005	1761		

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	/
ŗ	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/804,051	KWON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	<u>uly 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	2a)☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a by the lead of a by the lead in abeyance. See the drawing of the drawing of the drawing of the drawing of the lead of th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate					

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

Claims 1-16 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,935,224.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are an obvious variation of the patented claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by KR 2002-0057012.

There is disclosed in the Korean reference a bread maker, comprising: upper and lower kneading drums 11, 13 holding upper and lower parts of a mixing bag 7; a driver 26, 27 rotating the kneading drums in two directions; a baking tray 15 having a slit defined by the tray being formed of two parts; an oven compartment 10; a controller 70 for the driver; a rotation sensor 30; and a pair of dough-blocking members 17. All of the claimed structural limitations have been met by the prior art reference.

In regards to controlling the number of rotations of the kneading drum based upon the distance between the upper and lower kneading drums, applicant has failed to disclose that the drums are movable distance wise with respect to each other. The prior

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

Claims 1-16 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,935,224.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are an obvious variation of the patented claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by KR 2002-0057012.

There is disclosed in the Korean reference a bread maker, comprising: upper and lower kneading drums 11, 13 holding upper and lower parts of a mixing bag 7; a driver 26, 27 rotating the kneading drums in two directions; a baking tray 15 having a slit defined by the tray being formed of two parts; an oven compartment 10; a controller 70 for the driver; a rotation sensor 30; and a pair of dough-blocking members 17. All of the claimed structural limitations have been met by the prior art reference.

In regards to controlling the number of rotations of the kneading drum based upon the distance between the upper and lower kneading drums, applicant has failed to disclose that the drums are movable distance wise with respect to each other. The prior

Art Unit: 1761

art controller drives the kneading drums based upon a signal from a rotation sensor.

Thus, the number of rotations can be controlled.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 31 July 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Lee fails to disclose a mixing bag whose side edges have upper opening parts and lower sealed parts. Applicant has failed to define structurally the difference between the sealed upper edge of the Lee mixing bag, which is designed to open when the dough is forced thereupon, and the claimed opening parts. A review of applicants drawings and specification appear to disclose a similar arrangement as of that in Lee. Applicant additionally argues that Lee fails to disclose a controller which controls the number of rotations of the upper kneading drum, and where the number of rotations of the upper kneading drum varies according to a distance between the upper and lower kneading drums. It should first be noted that since applicant has failed to disclose that the distance between the upper and lower drums is adjustable, such an operational limitation has not been considered. It should further be noted that the controller of Lee provides control of the kneading drums and their rotating position. Thus, the number of rotations is inherently controlled.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1761

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla

02 September 2006

Reginald L. Alexander

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

Application/Control Number: 10/804,051 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761